

TOPIC	ISSUES	OPTIONS
1. Waste Data	<p>Beyond the MSW waste stream, data is unreliable and inaccurate.</p> <p>You can't predict what you need if you don't know what you've got, and at the moment we don't know what we've got.</p> <p>Fundamental baseline data is missing.</p> <p>Unreliable void space figures – differences between planning permission and permits</p> <p>Many grey economy players in waste industry make figures unreliable. Also corporate confidentiality issues.</p> <p>Projections can be unreliable (new industries, new pressures, new waste)</p>	<p>Talk to the Environment Agency (statutory responsibility for collecting waste data)</p> <p>Collect data ourselves, in order to improve local understanding</p> <p>Regional surveys should / could be used to extract local data</p>
2. Waste Targets	<p>The RSS draft overlaps with out-of-date RPG and only has benign MSW targets.</p> <p>Targets may become more clear as we progress through information gathering.</p> <p>If Greater Manchester wants to go for more ambitious targets than those set out in RSS, it will have to be justified. Are the targets achievable / appropriate?</p> <p>The RSS targets will have to meet the tests of soundness, so there will be a trickle-down to DPD level.</p>	<p>DPD could introduce separate targets</p> <p>DPD could take on board the RSS targets</p>

TOPIC	ISSUES	OPTIONS
<p>3. Waste Technologies</p>	<p>DPD should be open / flexible to deliver future technologies (not overly prescriptive).</p> <p>This should not be at the expense of realism / providing for technologies which are currently available.</p> <p>The DPD review / audit process should mop up any changes in technologies annually.</p> <p>There will always be a residual amount of waste that will need to be landfilled, and this needs to be planned for.</p>	<p>Flexible and not overly prescriptive</p>
<p>4. Availability of Sites</p>	<p>Location, location, location</p> <p>There is a landfill shortage currently which is resulting in exports</p> <p>There is a need for more re-processing / handling sites in Greater Manchester</p> <p>There are competing demands for land. Whilst allocations can ensure waste developments get priority over and above more lucrative land uses, this can result in blight and sterilisation of land.</p>	<p>Areas of search (removes issue of blight or conversely could spread blight over a larger area)</p> <p>Allocations (hierarchical approach – allocate both large ‘hub’ sites and local sites such as supermarket recycling facilities)</p> <p>Criteria-based policies</p> <p>Non prohibitive departures to allow industrial uses to develop their own waste management facilities.</p>

TOPIC	ISSUES	OPTIONS
5. Transport	<p>Environmental impact of transporting hazardous waste (security of transport, how far does it have to travel etc.)</p> <p>Most transport of waste by road</p> <p>Deterioration of roads due to HGV use</p> <p>Traffic snarl-ups</p> <p>Dust, vibration, noise</p> <p>Rail transport of waste requires facilities and slots / paths for trains.</p> <p>With a large number of small facilities there will be a large number of small vehicles (unavoidable)</p> <p>Larger facilities give potential for bulk haulage by rail or water.</p>	<p>Prescriptive locational criteria for big sites requiring bulk transport</p> <p>There may be more sustainable sites beyond Greater Manchester</p>
6. Meeting Objectives National Regional Local	<p>Some things require national policy approach (for example the predicted number of old analogue televisions being thrown out due to roll out of digital services).</p> <p>Deliverability of targets may be difficult due to number of players involved. Whilst it is good to have 10 authorities preparing the plan, resolving differences in objectives may prove difficult.</p> <p>9 out of the 10 authorities will have individual collection targets due to PFI contracts.</p>	<p>Meet the targets</p> <p>Don't meet the targets</p> <p>Combine waste disposal and collection targets for each authority</p> <p>Trade objectives (meet / exceed some, fail to meet others)</p>

TOPIC	ISSUES	OPTIONS
	<p>New topic: Greenbelt, greenfield, brownfield</p> <p>Is greenbelt sacrosanct?</p> <p>Are there exceptional circumstances which mean developing waste facilities in the greenbelt is acceptable? What are they?</p> <p>Is there an active quarry in the greenbelt which could benefit from restoration?</p>	
	<p>Prioritisation / ranking of topics by the Green Group</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Where - location / sites 2) What / how – technology 3) Why – data and objectives (inputs and outcomes) 	