

General Locational Principles		Site Assessment Criteria	
Topic	Why Important	Topic	Why Important
Ability to group all waste management facilities	Different requirements of particular types of waste management facility due to differing impacts.	Ability to apply criteria	The relevance of different criteria will depend on the type of facility proposed – flexibility should be incorporated.
Designation of Industrial Estates for Waste Management activity	Waste management is a fluid process and therefore it is important to provide opportunity for different sites at various times. The designation of industrial activities within the Use Class order (B2) will sometimes allow waste management operations without the need for Planning Permission.	Application of criteria	Some criteria must be met although some will be used to further sieve suitability of sites
Land Use		Land Ownership / Deliverability	This criterion has a direct impact as to whether a site could be used in the Plan period. It is often used at Inquiries to assess sites allocated within Development Plans.
Green Belt	There is a requirement to demonstrate special circumstances to accommodate a waste management facility therefore unsure if it is possible to make such a designation on Green Belt land.	Criteria Ranking	There is no value in ranking. The process of site selection should be more of a sieving process performed using numerous criteria.
Site specific allocations	Must be aware that it is not always possible to be site specific	Application of criteria evenly through sieving process	It is important to reduce the number of criteria to a manageable level.
Cost	Probably the most important consideration of whether a site or activity will come into fruition. This should be considered in the Joint Waste Plan allocations.	Number of potential sites	The site selection process should use an excessive number of locations and the market will do the rest in bringing forward / promoting sites.

General Locational Principles		Site Assessment Criteria																					
Topic	Why Important	Topic	Why Important																				
Cumulative Impact of waste management activities in one area	Waste management / transfer activities are perceived (whether rightly or wrongly) to blight an area. Therefore due consideration should be given to the potential impact of having more waste management related activities in the same locality.		<p><u>Feedback:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Try not to do too many workshops covering different topics as stakeholders may not be able to attend • E-mail attendees the feedback from the Forums but also e-mail updates following these – this will allow attendees to keep in touch with issues. <p><u>Blue Group Attendee Register:</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>NAME</th> <th>ORGANISATION</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mary Spiesberger</td> <td>Calderdale MBC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Roy Hamnett</td> <td>Kirklees MBC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Libbie Henderson</td> <td>Dickinson Dees</td> </tr> <tr> <td>David Erskin</td> <td>Cheshire CC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>David Ringwood</td> <td>Warrington BC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nicola Clough</td> <td>Lancashire CC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Joanne Clooney</td> <td>Warrington BC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Simon Talbot</td> <td>GMGU</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Andrew Holden</td> <td>Bolton BC</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NAME	ORGANISATION	Mary Spiesberger	Calderdale MBC	Roy Hamnett	Kirklees MBC	Libbie Henderson	Dickinson Dees	David Erskin	Cheshire CC	David Ringwood	Warrington BC	Nicola Clough	Lancashire CC	Joanne Clooney	Warrington BC	Simon Talbot	GMGU	Andrew Holden	Bolton BC
NAME	ORGANISATION																						
Mary Spiesberger	Calderdale MBC																						
Roy Hamnett	Kirklees MBC																						
Libbie Henderson	Dickinson Dees																						
David Erskin	Cheshire CC																						
David Ringwood	Warrington BC																						
Nicola Clough	Lancashire CC																						
Joanne Clooney	Warrington BC																						
Simon Talbot	GMGU																						
Andrew Holden	Bolton BC																						
Technologies	Different locational criteria apply to each type of waste management technology / process unevenly.																						
Size of allocated site – how small can a site designation be?	<p>This is linked to the type of waste management activity which would operate on a site and where the need is identified. It is important to consider expansion requirements of the business / activity.</p> <p>Co-location is common and often encouraged. This arrangement will be limited by the size of allocated sites.</p> <p>Knowledge of the particular technology and requirements of waste management businesses is particularly important in deciding the size of allocation.</p>																						
Health [KEY LOCATIONAL PRINCIPLE]	This is discounted due to other legislation (enforced by the Environment Agency) but never-the-less often used incorrectly in assessing waste management applications by development control departments.																						