

TOPIC	ISSUES	OPTIONS
1. Waste Data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data is recorded differently between authorities</li> <li>• There needs to be greater consistency</li> <li>• There is good data available on Municipal Solid Waste</li> <li>• Commercial and industrial waste data is less readily available</li> <li>• There is a major data gap in terms of proportion of waste that this represents</li> <li>• There is a need to establish what types of data are required</li> <li>• There is a need to establish how much information is required in terms of waste arisings and waste capacity and how the is best presented</li> <li>• General concerns were raised about the reliability of data</li> <li>• There is often a problem with the data being confidential and therefore information cannot be disaggregated in a meaningful way.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The general availability of data and consistency needs to be tackled at government level</li> <li>• Consultants have been commissioned to look at C&amp;I data gap (ERM) and this should address the major deficiencies identified</li> <li>• Use the national waste data survey as a source of information</li> <li>• There is a need to remember that the planning process is an iterative process and will need to be reviewed</li> <li>• There is a need to tighten procedures at planning application stage to ensure that date is presented consistently in terms of detail. This will assist in terms of future data provision.</li> </ul>
2. Waste Targets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Should not lose focus that MSW represents only a small % of waste stream</li> <li>• There is a need to develop a clear understanding of the relationship with the PFI process</li> <li>• There are difficulties in achieving targets given the disparities in timescales between RSS/ ~WDF and PFI procurement process</li> <li>• LATS targets are very important as they carry hefty financial penalties at the various target dates (2009-10, 2012-13, 2019-2020)</li> <li>• The provision of facilities is integral to achieving the targets</li> <li>• The community needs to be actively engaged to ensure that targets are met, particularly those relating to MSW and recycling</li> <li>• There is a need to ensure that all waste streams are considered and appropriate targets set out</li> <li>• There is a need to be flexible and pay head to other targets (e.g. if the waste strategy targets change)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is not possible to address timescale difficulties as the various processes and timings are already in place, though appropriate dialogue will assist the level of understanding and interfaces)</li> <li>• Plan /time does not stand still and there will be a need to build in performance monitoring and review</li> <li>• The Entec study should be updated and used to inform the Plan process</li> <li>• There is a need to ommunicate effectively to stakeholders that all waste is being considered and not just MSW</li> <li>• Monitor performance and build into review process</li> <li>• Got to be prepared to make "tough" decisions along the way to ensure that targets are met.</li> </ul>

TOPIC	ISSUES	OPTIONS
3. Waste Technologies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There are significant political issues associated with various technologies</li> <li>• Improved facilities will need to be provided and additional facilities will be needed to address targets and issues previously raised</li> <li>• Have to consider all technologies, issues and identify all options</li> <li>• Need to be aware of emerging technologies and be sufficiently flexible to accommodate these technologies if they become proven</li> <li>• There is a dilemma between flexibility and certainty</li> <li>• Concern was raised that the output specification in the Waste contract may prejudice the ability to be flexible</li> <li>• May need to build in recyclables and appropriate facilities</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a need to build in flexibility in both plans and contracts</li> <li>• Look at existing facilities and how / if can be improved as a starting point</li> </ul>
4. Availability of Sites	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a need to look at scale of facilities as this will affect availability</li> <li>• Types of facilities will also have a bearing</li> <li>• The availability of sites is a key issue</li> <li>• Should there be reserve sites? This needs to be considered early in the process</li> <li>• Can planning deliver? Deliverability is a key issue.</li> <li>• How can you be sure that you have identified the best sites while marrying up the political dimension? This was identified as a key issue in this geographical location</li> <li>• Proximity needs to be considered</li> <li>• Economies of scale are very important</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a need to look at fall back position if sites do not come forward</li> <li>• Reserve sites need to be considered</li> <li>• There needs to be a wide trawl of sites across conurbation</li> <li>• All types of sites need to be considered at the start using a broad sieving process</li> <li>• Need to refine criteria as the process unfolds</li> </ul>



Association of  
Greater Manchester Authorities

# Planning for Waste in Greater Manchester

## Workshop 1 Stakeholder Event 1

TOPIC	ISSUES	OPTIONS
5. Transport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Need to consider the use alternative means of transport e.g. rail and canal</li><li>• Widen out thought process and think more radically</li><li>• Look at rail served sites</li></ul>	Factor in all issues
6. Meeting Objectives National Regional Local	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Different authorities have different waste collection arrangements and this may cause difficulties. How can this be reconciled?</li><li>• Links with other authorities outside the administrative boundaries</li><li>• Need to look at all objectives and feed down</li></ul>	



Association of  
Greater Manchester Authorities

# Planning for Waste in Greater Manchester

## Workshop 1 Stakeholder Event 1

TOPIC	ISSUES	OPTIONS
7. Interface with PFI	The Group felt this was a key issue that needs to be addressed.	
8. Public perception	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• There is a general lack of understanding</li><li>• Lack of investment in education</li></ul>	<p>The Group felt that there needs to be greater education and awareness and that this is critical to the process.</p> <p>This will require planning and investment if the public are to be brought on board.</p>



Association of  
Greater Manchester Authorities

# Planning for Waste in Greater Manchester

## Workshop 1 Stakeholder Event 1

TOPIC	ISSUES	OPTIONS
9. Market for recyclates	<p>The Group queried how the plan can address this issue but never the less considered it an important issue in delivery of targets and some technologies.</p> <p>All variables need to be factored in e.g RDF and other markets.</p>	
	<p><b>Ranking</b></p> <p>The Group felt that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Site technologies</li><li>• Challenge of dealing with other wastes</li></ul> <p>Are important issues for the Plan.</p>	